

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University) Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117


### **Department of Automobile Engineering**

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2016-17 (On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

#### **Feedback Parameters**

- 1. Is the course relevant for the program?
- 2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
- 3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
- 4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
- 5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
- 6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
- 7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
- 8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
- 9. Is the course duration adequate?
- 10. Overall satisfaction.

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2016-17



Dr.J.VENKATESANHOD FAP h.D.
Professor & Head

Department of Automobile Engineering Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering Pennalur, Sriperumbudur Taluk-602 117 Tamil Nadu, India



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

16.05.2017

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-17
Faculty Name	RAVI
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Automobile Engineering
Course Code &	AE16503- Automotive Electrical and Electronic systems
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?		5			
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?		5			
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?		5			
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any oth	er suggestions: Nil					

Signature

**RAVI** 



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

16.05.2017

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-17
Faculty Name	SAKTHIVEL R
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Automobile Engineering
Course Code &	GE16152 - Engineering Graphics
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	4				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?		5			
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	4				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?		5			
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	4				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	4				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	4				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	4				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	4				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any oth	er suggestions: Nil	-1				

John.

Signature SAKTHIVEL R



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

16.05.2017

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-17
Faculty Name	SAKTHIVEL R
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Automobile Engineering
Course Code &	GE16261 - Computer Aided Drafting and Modeling Laboratory
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	4				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?		5			
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?		4			
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?		5			
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	4				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	4				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	4				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	4				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	4				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any oth	er suggestions: Nil	<u> </u>				

Signature

SAKTHIVEL R



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

16.05.2017

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-2017
Faculty Name	Balasubramanian A
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Automobile Engineering
Course Code &	AT6401 Applied Thermodynamics and Heat Transfer
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?		5			
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?		5			
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?		5			
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				

Signature

Balasubramanian A