Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

## Department of Biotechnology

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2016-17
(On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

Feedback Parameters

1. Is the course relevant for the program?

2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?

3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?

4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?

5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?

6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?

7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?

8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?

9. Is the course duration adequate?

10. Overall satisfaction.

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2016-17

HoD / BT

Prof. E. NAKKEERAN, M. Tech., Ph.D.
Professor & Head
Department of Biotechnology
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering
Sriperumbudur Tk - 602 117, Tamilnadu, INDIA

Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

16.05.2017

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-17
Faculty Name	Nagavignesh S
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code & Course Name	BT16303- Cell Biology

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?		4			
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?		4			
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?		4			
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?		4			
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?		4			
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?		4			
9.	Is the course duration adequate?		4			
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature
Nagavignesh S

Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

16.05.2017

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-17
Faculty Name	Praveen Kumar P K
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code & Course Name	BT16701 - Bioinformatics and Computational Biology

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			5		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			5		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			5		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			5		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			5		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			5		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature
Praveen Kumar P K

Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

16.05.2017

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-2017
Faculty Name	Prof. E. Nakkeeran
Designation	Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code & Course Name	BY16201

S.No	Parameter	Excellent 5	Very Good 4	Good 3	Satisfactory 2	Poor 1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			5		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			5		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			5		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			5		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			5		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			5		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature
Prof. E. Nakkeeran

16.05.2017

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-2017
Faculty Name	S. Pandi Prabha
Designation	Associate Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code & Course Name	BT16211 and Biochemistry Laboratory

S.No	Parameter	Excellent 5	Very Good 4	Good 3	Satisfactory 2	Poor 1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			5		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			5		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			5		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			5		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			5		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			5		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature
S. Pandi Prabha