



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117


Department of Chemical Engineering

Alumni Feedback Analysis AY 2016-17
(On Curriculum and Syllabus)

Feedback Parameters

1. Courses were relevant for the program and met the current industry needs.
2. Knowledge provided by the courses were useful to the professional practice.
3. The courses enhance the employability potential.
4. Appropriate textbooks and reference books were quoted and were available in the library.
5. Courses enabled me to relate theory to practice.
6. The courses enabled critical thinking and problem-solving skills.
7. The courses provided an opportunity to enhance communication and interpersonal skills.
8. Curriculum and courses inspired lifelong learning.
9. Rate the evaluation schemes adopted.
10. Overall satisfaction of the programme.

Alumni Feedback Analysis AY 2016-17



HoD / CH

Professor & Head of the Department
Department of Chemical Engineering
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering
Sriperumbudur 602 117



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

23.05.2017

ALUMINI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS

Name of the Alumini	Swetha S
Batch	2013-2017
Name of the Programme	B.Tech Chemical Engineering
Company Name	McDermott Chennai Branch
Address	McDermott Chennai Branch
Designation	Process Engineer

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Courses were relevant for the program and met the current industry needs			3		
2.	Knowledge provided by the courses were useful to the professional practice			4		
3.	The courses enhance the employability potential			4		
4.	Appropriate textbooks and reference books were quoted and were available in the library			5		
5.	Courses enabled me to relate theory to practice			4		
6.	The courses enabled critical thinking and problem-solving skills			3		
7.	The courses provided an opportunity to enhance communication and interpersonal skills			4		
8.	Curriculum and courses inspired lifelong learning			4		
9.	Rate the evaluation schemes adopted.			4		
10.	Overall Satisfaction of the Program			4		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature
Swetha S



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

23.05.2017

ALUMINI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS

Name of the Alumini	Vishnu Aadhithan
Batch	2013-2017
Name of the Programme	B.Tech Chemical Engineering
Company Name	Saipem, Chennai
Address	Saipem, Chennai
Designation	Process Engineer

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Courses were relevant for the program and met the current industry needs	5				
2.	Knowledge provided by the courses were useful to the professional practice	5				
3.	The courses enhance the employability potential	5				
4.	Appropriate textbooks and reference books were quoted and were available in the library	5				
5.	Courses enabled me to relate theory to practice	5				
6.	The courses enabled critical thinking and problem-solving skills	5				
7.	The courses provided an opportunity to enhance communication and interpersonal skills	5				
8.	Curriculum and courses inspired lifelong learning	5				
9.	Rate the evaluation schemes adopted.	5				
10.	Overall Satisfaction of the Program	5				
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

Vishnu Aadhithan



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

23.05.2017

ALUMINI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS

Name of the Alumini	Prasanna Vengatesh
Batch	2013-2017
Name of the Programme	B.Tech Chemical Engineering
Company Name	Randstad India Ltd, Chennai.
Address	Randstad India Ltd, Chennai.
Designation	Process Engineer

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Courses were relevant for the program and met the current industry needs			2		
2.	Knowledge provided by the courses were useful to the professional practice			3		
3.	The courses enhance the employability potential			3		
4.	Appropriate textbooks and reference books were quoted and were available in the library			3		
5.	Courses enabled me to relate theory to practice			3		
6.	The courses enabled critical thinking and problem-solving skills			2		
7.	The courses provided an opportunity to enhance communication and interpersonal skills			1		
8.	Curriculum and courses inspired lifelong learning			1		
9.	Rate the evaluation schemes adopted.			4		
10.	Overall Satisfaction of the Program			3		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

PV

Signature

Prasanna Vengatesh



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

23.05.2017

ALUMINI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS

Name of the Alumini	Tinku Kumar A B
Batch	2013-2017
Name of the Programme	B.Tech Chemical Engineering
Company Name	Concordia University
Address	Concordia University
Designation	Student

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Courses were relevant for the program and met the current industry needs	5				
2.	Knowledge provided by the courses were useful to the professional practice	5				
3.	The courses enhance the employability potential	5				
4.	Appropriate textbooks and reference books were quoted and were available in the library	4				
5.	Courses enabled me to relate theory to practice	4				
6.	The courses enabled critical thinking and problem-solving skills	5				
7.	The courses provided an opportunity to enhance communication and interpersonal skills	4				
8.	Curriculum and courses inspired lifelong learning	4				
9.	Rate the evaluation schemes adopted.	4				
10.	Overall Satisfaction of the Program	4				
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

Tinku Kumar A B



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

23.05.2017

ALUMINI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS

Name of the Alumini	Sarayu Srinivasan
Batch	2013-2017
Name of the Programme	B.Tech Chemical Engineering
Company Name	Ruhr university Germany
Address	Ruhr university Germany
Designation	Student

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Courses were relevant for the program and met the current industry needs	5				
2.	Knowledge provided by the courses were useful to the professional practice	5				
3.	The courses enhance the employability potential	4				
4.	Appropriate textbooks and reference books were quoted and were available in the library	4				
5.	Courses enabled me to relate theory to practice	5				
6.	The courses enabled critical thinking and problem-solving skills	5				
7.	The courses provided an opportunity to enhance communication and interpersonal skills	4				
8.	Curriculum and courses inspired lifelong learning	4				
9.	Rate the evaluation schemes adopted.	5				
10.	Overall Satisfaction of the Program	5				
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

Sarayu Srinivasan