



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

Department of Computer Science Engineering

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2016-17

(On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

Feedback Parameters

1. Is the course relevant for the program?
2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
9. Is the course duration adequate?
10. Overall satisfaction.

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2016-17



HoD / CS
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
SRI VENKATESWARA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
PENNALUR, SRIPERUMBUDUR TALUK - 602 117
TAMIL NADU, INDIA



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

18.05.2017

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-17
Faculty Name	S. RAJALAKSHMI
Designation	Asst. Prof
Department	CSE
Course Code & Course Name	CS16201 - Digital Principles and System Design

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			4		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			4		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			4		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			4		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			4		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			4		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			4		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			4		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			4		
10.	Overall satisfaction			4		
Any other suggestions: Nil						


Signature

S. RAJALAKSHMI



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

18.05.2017

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-17
Faculty Name	G.Janakasudha
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	CSE
Course Code & Course Name	GE16151

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

G.Janakasudha



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

18.05.2017

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-2017
Faculty Name	Dr C JAYAKUMAR
Designation	Professor
Department	CSE
Course Code & Course Name	R16

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			4		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			4		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			4		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			4		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			4		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			4		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			4		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

Dr C JAYAKUMAR



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

18.05.2017

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-2017
Faculty Name	Revathi N
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Computer Science and Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	R16 Curriculum

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	4				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	4				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	4				
Any other suggestions: Nil						

N. Revathi

Signature

Revathi N