Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

## Department of Mechanical Engineering

### Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2016-17
(On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

Feedback Parameters

1. Is the course relevant for the program?

2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?

3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?

4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?

5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?

6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?

7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?

8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?

9. Is the course duration adequate?

10. Overall satisfaction.

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2016-17

HoD / ME

Dr. S. RAMESH BABU, M.E., Ph.D
Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering
Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (TK) - 602117
Tamilnadu, INDIA.

Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

20.05.2017

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-17
Faculty Name	S. Ramesh Babu
Designation	Professor
Department	Mechanical Engineeirng
Course Code & Course Name	ME 16302 - Manufacturing technology 1

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?		4			
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?		4			
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?		4			
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?		4			
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?		4			
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?		4			
9.	Is the course duration adequate?		4			
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

S. Ramesh Babu

Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

20.05.2017

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-17
Faculty Name	Dr.M.Prem Ananth
Designation	Associate Professor
Department	Mechanical Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	GE16152-Engineering Graphics

S.No	Parameter	Excellent 5	Very Good 4	Good 3	Satisfactory 2	Poor 1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			5		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			5		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			5		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			5		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			5		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			5		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

Dr.M.Prem Ananth

Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-17
Faculty Name	M.GAJENDIRAN
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Mechanical Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	ME16701 POWER PLANT ENGINEERING

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			5		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			5		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			5		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			5		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			5		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			5		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

M.GAJENDIRAN

Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

20.05.2017

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2016-17
Faculty Name	MUNIRAJ
Designation	Assistant professor
Department	Mechanical Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	GE16152 - Engineering Graphics

S.No	Parameter	Excellent 5	Very Good 4	Good 3	Satisfactory 2	Poor 1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			5		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			5		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			5		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			5		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			5		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			5		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

MUNIRAJ