



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

Department of Computer Science Engineering

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2017-18

(On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

Feedback Parameters

1. Is the course relevant for the program?
2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
9. Is the course duration adequate?
10. Overall satisfaction

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2017-18



HoD / CS

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
SRI VENKATESWARA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
PENNALUR, SRIPERUMBUDUR TALUK - 602 117
TAMIL NADU, INDIA



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

23.05.18

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Academic Year | 2017-2018 |
| Faculty Name | T.Padmavathy |
| Designation | Assistant Professor |
| Department | CSE |
| Course Code & Course Name | CS16202 - Programming and Data Structures I |

| S.No | Parameter | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|------|--------------|------|
| | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1. | Is the course relevant for the program? | 5 | | | | |
| 2. | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate? | 4 | | | | |
| 3. | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students? | 4 | | | | |
| 4. | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)? | 5 | | | | |
| 5. | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 6. | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 5 | | | | |
| 7. | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed? | 5 | | | | |
| 8. | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 9. | Is the course duration adequate? | 5 | | | | |
| 10. | Overall satisfaction | 4 | | | | |
| Any other suggestions: Nil | | | | | | |

Signature

T.Padmavathy



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

23.05.18

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Academic Year | 2017-2018 |
| Faculty Name | S Rajalakshmi |
| Designation | Assistant Professor |
| Department | Computer Science & Engineering |
| Course Code & Course Name | CS16301 - Programming and Data Structures II |

| S.No | Parameter | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|------|--------------|------|
| | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1. | Is the course relevant for the program? | 5 | | | | |
| 2. | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate? | 5 | | | | |
| 3. | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students? | 5 | | | | |
| 4. | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)? | 5 | | | | |
| 5. | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 6. | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 5 | | | | |
| 7. | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed? | 5 | | | | |
| 8. | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 9. | Is the course duration adequate? | 5 | | | | |
| 10. | Overall satisfaction | 5 | | | | |
| Any other suggestions: Nil | | | | | | |

Signature

S Rajalakshmi



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

23.05.18

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Academic Year | 2017-2018 |
| Faculty Name | Senthamizh Selvi S |
| Designation | Assistant Professor |
| Department | CSE |
| Course Code & Course Name | GE16161 - Computer Practices Laboratory |

| S.No | Parameter | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|------|--------------|------|
| | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1. | Is the course relevant for the program? | 5 | | | | |
| 2. | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate? | 5 | | | | |
| 3. | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students? | 5 | | | | |
| 4. | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)? | 5 | | | | |
| 5. | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 6. | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 5 | | | | |
| 7. | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed? | 5 | | | | |
| 8. | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 9. | Is the course duration adequate? | 5 | | | | |
| 10. | Overall satisfaction | 5 | | | | |
| Any other suggestions: Nil | | | | | | |

S. Senthamizh Selvi

Signature

Senthamizh Selvi S