

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University) Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117


#### Department of Biotechnology

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2020-21 (On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

#### Feedback Parameters

- 1. Is the course relevant for the program?
- 2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
- 3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
- 4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
- 5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
- 6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
- 7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
- 8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
- 9. Is the course duration adequate?
- 10. Overall satisfaction

#### Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2020-21





Head of the Department – – Department of Biotechnology Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering Sriperumbudur Tk - 602 117, Tamilnadu, INDIA



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

12.05.2021

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2020-2021
Faculty Name	Hariharan
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code &	BT16019 - MOLECULAR THERAPEUTICS
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any oth	ner suggestions: Nil					



Signature Hariharan



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

12.05.2021

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2020-2021
Faculty Name	MS RATHNA R
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code &	OE18201-Introduction to Biofuels and Bioenergy
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?		I	3	1	
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			4		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	3				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	4				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	3				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	3				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	3				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	3				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	3				
10.	Overall satisfaction			4		

Bituna

Signature MS RATHNA R



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

12.05.2021

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2020-2021
Faculty Name	Prof. E. Nakkeeran
Designation	Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code &	BT16702
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?		5			
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any oth	er suggestions: Nil					

Jacurer

Signature Prof. E. Nakkeeran



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

12.05.2021

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2020-2021
Faculty Name	S. Pandi Prabha
Designation	Associate Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code &	BT18303 and Basic Industrial Biotechnology
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any oth	ner suggestions: Nil					

Randihasha

Signature S. Pandi Prabha