

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University) Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117


Department of Chemical Engineering

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2020-21 (On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

Feedback Parameters

- 1. Is the course relevant for the program?
- 2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
- 3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
- 4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
- 5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
- 6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
- 7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
- 8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
- 9. Is the course duration adequate?
- 10. Overall satisfaction

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2020-21



HoD / CH

Professor & Head of the Department Department of Chemical Engineering Srl Venkateserare College of Engineering Srlperumbudur 802 517



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

11.05.2021

| Academic Year | 2020-21 |
|---------------|------------------------|
| Faculty Name | Dr.M.Srividhya |
| Designation | Assistant Professor |
| Department | ChemicalEngineering |
| Course Code & | CH16003Food Technology |
| Course Name | |

| | | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|---------|--|-----------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| S.No | Parameter | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1. | Is the course relevant for the program? | | I | 4 | 1 | |
| 2. | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate? | | | 4 | | |
| 3. | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students? | | | 4 | | |
| 4. | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)? | 4 | | | | |
| 5. | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning? | 4 | | | | |
| 6. | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 4 | | | | |
| 7. | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed? | 4 | | | | |
| 8. | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning? | 4 | | | | |
| 9. | Is the course duration adequate? | 4 | | | | |
| 10. | Overall satisfaction | | | 4 | | |
| Any oth | er suggestions: Nil | 1 | | | | |

MP

Signature Dr.M.Srividhya



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

11.05.2021

Academic Year2020-21Faculty NameDr. Philip Bernstein SaynikDesignationAssistant ProfessorDepartmentDepartment of Chemical EngineeringCourse Code &CH18502 Chemical Reaction Engineering ICourse NameImage: Course Name

| | | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|---------|--|-----------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| S.No | Parameter | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1. | Is the course relevant for the program? | | I | 5 | 1 | |
| 2. | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate? | 5 | | | | |
| 3. | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students? | 5 | | | | |
| 4. | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)? | 5 | | | | |
| 5. | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 6. | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 5 | | | | |
| 7. | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed? | 5 | | | | |
| 8. | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 9. | Is the course duration adequate? | 5 | | | | |
| 10. | Overall satisfaction | | | 5 | | |
| Any oth | er suggestions: Nil | 1 | | | | |

Signature Dr. Philip Bernstein Saynik



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

11.05.2021

| Academic Year | 2020-21 |
|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Faculty Name | P.G. Priya Darshini |
| Designation | Assistant Professor |
| Department | Chemical Engineering |
| Course Code & | CH 18701 & Transport phenomena |
| Course Name | |

| | | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|---------|--|-----------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| S.No | Parameter | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1. | Is the course relevant for the program? | 5 | | | | |
| 2. | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate? | | | 5 | | |
| 3. | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students? | 5 | | | | |
| 4. | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)? | 5 | | | | |
| 5. | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 6. | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 5 | | | | |
| 7. | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed? | 5 | | | | |
| 8. | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 9. | Is the course duration adequate? | 5 | | | | |
| 10. | Overall satisfaction | 5 | | | | |
| Any oth | er suggestions: Nil | | | | | |

lange lessing 4

Signature P.G. Priya Darshini



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

11.05.2021

| Academic Year | 2020-21 |
|---------------|--|
| Faculty Name | Dr.G.Manikandan |
| Designation | Assistant Professor |
| Department | Chemical Engineering |
| Course Code & | CH18404 Chemical Process Industries-II |
| Course Name | |

| | | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|---------|--|-----------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| S.No | Parameter | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1. | Is the course relevant for the program? | 5 | | | | |
| 2. | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate? | | | 5 | | |
| 3. | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students? | 5 | | | | |
| 4. | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)? | 5 | | | | |
| 5. | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 6. | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 5 | | | | |
| 7. | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed? | 5 | | | | |
| 8. | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 9. | Is the course duration adequate? | 5 | | | | |
| 10. | Overall satisfaction | 5 | | | | |
| Any oth | er suggestions: Nil | | | | | |

Mané

Signature Dr.G.Manikandan