

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University) Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117


Department of Computer Science Engineering

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2020-21 (On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

Feedback Parameters

- 1. Is the course relevant for the program?
- 2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
- 3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
- 4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
- 5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
- 6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
- 7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
- 8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
- 9. Is the course duration adequate?
- 10. Overall satisfaction

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2020-21



HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
SRI VENKATESWARA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
PENNALUR, SRIPERUMBUDUR TALUK - 602 117

TAMIL NADU, INDIA



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

12.05.2021

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2020-2021
Faculty Name	KUMANAN K
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Computer science and Engineering
Course Code &	CS18603
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?		4			
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	4				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	4				

Signature

KUMANAN K



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

12.05.2021

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2020-21
Faculty Name	Sasikala D
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Computer Science and Engineering
Course Code &	R18
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?		5			
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?		5			
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?		5			
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?		5			
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any oth	ner suggestions: Nil	1				

D. Ber

Signature

Sasikala D



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

12.05.2021

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2020-21
Faculty Name	G.Janakasudha
Designation	A.P
Department	CSE
Course Code &	R-18
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?		3			
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			4		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	4				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	3				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	3				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	3				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	3				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	g? 3				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	3				
10.	Overall satisfaction	4				



Signature

G.Janakasudha



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

12.05.2021

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2020-21
Faculty Name	Revathi N
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Computer Science and Engineering
Course Code &	R18
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			4		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	4				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	4				

N. Q. tt.
Signature

Signature

Revathi N