Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

## Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2020-21
(On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

Feedback Parameters

1. Is the course relevant for the program?
2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
9. Is the course duration adequate?
10. Overall satisfaction

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2020-21

HoD / EE

Dr.KR.SANTHA
Head of the Department
Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering
Pennalur, Sriperumbudur Taluk-602 117
Tamilnadu, INDIA

12.05.2021

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2020-2021
Faculty Name	S.S.SETHURAMAN
Designation	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
Department	EEE
Course Code & Course Name	CS18052 - FUNDAMENTAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (PROFESSIONAL ELECTIVE)

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			5		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			5		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			5		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			5		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			5		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			5		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

S.S.SETHURAMAN

12.05.2021

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2020-2021
Faculty Name	M RANJITHKUMAR
Designation	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
Department	Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	EE18151 – Basic Electrical & Electronics Engineering

S.No	Parameter	Excellent 5	Very Good 4	Good 3	Satisfactory 2	Poor 1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			5		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			5		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			5		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			5		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			5		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			5		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

M RANJITHKUMAR

Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

12.05.2021

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2020-2021
Faculty Name	S.SUDHARSANAM
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	EEE
Course Code & Course Name	EE18411-Electrical MAchines Lab

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			5		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			5		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			5		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			5		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			5		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			5		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

S.SUDHARSANAM

12.05.2021

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2020-2021
Faculty Name	T ANNAMALAI
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	OE18602 – Industrial Automation

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	**Parameter**	**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			4		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			4		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			4		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			4		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			4		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			4		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			4		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			4		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			4		
10.	Overall satisfaction			4		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

T ANNAMALAI