



# Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

## Department of Chemical Engineering


Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2021-22

(On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

### Feedback Parameters

1. Is the course relevant for the program?
2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
9. Is the course duration adequate?
10. Overall satisfaction

### Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2021-22



*Dr. N. Meyyappan*

HoD / CHE

Dr.N.MEYYAPPAN, M.E, Ph.D.,  
Professor & HOD  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering  
Post Bag No.1, Pennalur, Sriperumbudur Tk 602 117  
Tamil Nadu, INDIA.



**Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering**  
**Pennalur, Sriperumbudur 602117**  
**(Autonomous - Affiliated to Anna University)**



**FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)**

Academic Year	2021-2022
Faculty Name	S.Jaiganesh
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Chemical Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	CH18604 – Process Equipment Design I

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	4				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	4				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	4				
<b>Any other suggestions:</b>						

S. Jaiganesh

Signature



**Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering**  
**Pennalur, Sriperumbudur 602117**  
**(Autonomous - Affiliated to Anna University)**



**FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)**

Academic Year	2021-2022
Faculty Name	Dr.V.Divya
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Chemical Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	CH18302 – Fluid Mechanics

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
<b>Any other suggestions:</b>						

Signature



**Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering**  
**Pennalur, Sriperumbudur 602117**  
**(Autonomous - Affiliated to Anna University)**



**FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)**

Academic Year	2021-2022
Faculty Name	S.Bharath
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Chemical Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	CH18501 – Mass Transfer I

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	4				
10.	Overall satisfaction	4				
<b>Any other suggestions:</b>						

*S. Bharath*

**Signature**