

Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur Tk-602117

Department of Civil Engineering


Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2021-22

(On Curriculum and Syllabus)


Feedback Parameters

1. Is the course relevant for the program?
2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
9. Is the course duration adequate?
10. Overall satisfaction

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2021-22



HoD/ CE



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117


FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

| | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Academic Year | 2021-2022 |
| Faculty Name | M. Selvakumar |
| Designation | Associate Professor |
| Department | Civil Engineering |
| Course Code & Course Name | CE18405 Transportation Engineering I |

| S.No | Parameter | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|------|--------------|------|
| | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1. | Is the course relevant for the program? | 5 | | | | |
| 2. | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate? | 5 | | | | |
| 3. | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students? | 5 | | | | |
| 4. | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)? | 5 | | | | |
| 5. | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 6. | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 5 | | | | |
| 7. | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed? | 5 | | | | |
| 8. | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 9. | Is the course duration adequate? | 5 | | | | |
| 10. | Overall satisfaction | 5 | | | | |
| Any other suggestions: Nil | | | | | | |

M. Selvakumar
Signature

M. Selvakumar



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

| | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Academic Year | 2021-2022 |
| Faculty Name | R.Mathiyazhagan |
| Designation | Assistant Professor |
| Department | Civil Engineering |
| Course Code & Course Name | CE18303 Plane and Geodetic Surveying |

| S.No | Parameter | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|------|--------------|------|
| | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1. | Is the course relevant for the program? | 5 | | | | |
| 2. | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate? | 5 | | | | |
| 3. | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students? | 5 | | | | |
| 4. | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)? | 5 | | | | |
| 5. | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 6. | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 5 | | | | |
| 7. | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed? | 5 | | | | |
| 8. | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 9. | Is the course duration adequate? | 5 | | | | |
| 10. | Overall satisfaction | 5 | | | | |
| Any other suggestions: Nil | | | | | | |

R. Mathiyazhagan

Signature

R.Mathiyazhagan



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

| | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Academic Year | 2021-2022 |
| Faculty Name | Diravia Balan S |
| Designation | ASSISTANT PROFESSOR |
| Department | Civil Engineering |
| Course Code & Course Name | CE18402 Applied Hydraulic Engineering |

| S.No | Parameter | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|------|--------------|------|
| | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1. | Is the course relevant for the program? | 5 | | | | |
| 2. | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate? | 4 | | | | |
| 3. | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students? | 4 | | | | |
| 4. | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)? | 5 | | | | |
| 5. | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 6. | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 5 | | | | |
| 7. | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed? | 5 | | | | |
| 8. | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning? | 5 | | | | |
| 9. | Is the course duration adequate? | 5 | | | | |
| 10. | Overall satisfaction | 4 | | | | |
| Any other suggestions: Nil | | | | | | |

Signature
Diravia Balan S