



SRI VENKATESWARA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(An Autonomous Institution – Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk), 602117


DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Faculty Feedback Analysis- AY-2022-2023

(On Curriculum and Syllabus)

Feedback Parameters

1. Is the course relevant for the program?
2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
9. Is the course duration adequate?
10. Overall satisfaction.



Faculty Feedback on Curriculum and Syllabus for the Academic Year 2022-23


20/8/23
Prof. E. NAKKESAN, M.Tech., Ph.D.
Professor & Head
Department of Biotechnology
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering
Sriperumbudur Tk - 602 117, Tamil Nadu, INDIA



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2022-2023 (CDD)
Faculty Name	S. PANDI PRABHA
Designation	PROFESSOR
Department	BIOTECHNOLOGY
Course Code & Course Name	BT18303 - BASIC INDUSTRIAL BIOTECHNOLOGY

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	✓				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	✓				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	✓				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	✓				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	✓				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	✓				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	✓				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	✓				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	✓				
10.	Overall satisfaction	✓				
Any other suggestions:		—				

S. Pandi Prabha
Signature



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2022-2023
Faculty Name	DR. K. DIVAKAR
Designation	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
Department	BIOTECHNOLOGY
Course Code & Course Name	BT18502 MASS TRANSFER OPERATIONS

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	4				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	4				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any other suggestions:						

Signature



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2022 - 2023
Faculty Name	Dr. K. Ganesh Prasad
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code & Course Name	BT18304 - Biochemistry

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?		5			
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?		4			
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?		5			
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?		5			
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?		3			
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?		4			
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?		4			
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?		5			
9.	Is the course duration adequate?		3			
10.	Overall satisfaction		4			
Any other suggestions:						


Signature