




Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering
(An Autonomous Institution affiliated to Anna University)
Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117


Department of Marine Engineering

Faculty feedback analysis (AY2022-23)
(on curriculum and syllabus)

Feedback Parameters

1. Is the course relevant for the program?
2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
5. How is the scope for the use of modern ICT tools and for improved learning?
6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
- 8 Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
- 9 Is the course duration adequate?
10. Overall Satisfaction.





SIGNATURE
Prof. S. SHANMUGAM
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
MARINE ENGINEERING
Professor and Head
Department of Marine Engineering
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering
Pennalur, Irugattukottai (PO)-602117
Sriperumbudur (Tk), Tamil Nadu, India



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117



FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2022-23
Faculty Name	Mr V Gurusamy
Designation	Associate Professor
Department	Marine Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	MR18302 - Marine Manufacturing Technology


S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			4		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			4		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			5		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			4		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			4		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			4		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			4		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			4		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions:						

Signature



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117



FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2022-23
Faculty Name	Mr RAMESHKANNAN.G
Designation	Asst. Professor
Department	Marine Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	MR18301 Marine Hydraulics and fluid machinery


S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any other suggestions:						

Signature



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117



FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2022-23
Faculty Name	S.G. Karthik
Designation	Asst. Professor
Department	Marine Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	Mr18505 - Engineering Metrology, Instrumentation and Automation

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	4				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	4				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	4				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	4				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	4				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	4				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	4				
10.	Overall satisfaction	3				
Any other suggestions:		Course can be pruned further as time is not adequate to do justice to the syllabus				

Signature