FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2022-23
Faculty Name	Mr. G. KIRUBAKARAN
Designation	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
Department	MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
Course Code & Course Name	ME22101 & Engineering Drawing

S.No	Parameter	Excellent 5	Very Good 4	Good 3	Satisfactory 2	Poor 1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				

Any other suggestions: —NIL—

Signature

SRI VENKATESWARA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(An Autonomous Institution – Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2022-23
Faculty Name	M.BALAKUMAR
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Mechanical Engineering
Course Code &	ME22202
Course Name	Manufacturing Processes

S.No	Parameter	Excellent 5	Very Good 4	Good 3	Satisfactory 2	Poor 1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	4				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	4				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	4				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	4				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	3				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	4				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	3				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	4				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	3				
10.	Overall satisfaction	4				
Any other suggestions:						

M.Balakumar

Signature

SRI VENKATESWARA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(An Autonomous Institution – Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2022 - 2023
Faculty Name	Dr. S. SARAVANAN
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Mechanical Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	ME22251 Technical Drawing

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			3		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?		4			
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?		4			
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			3		
10.	Overall satisfaction		4			

Any other suggestions: - Nil -

Signature

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2022-23
Faculty Name	Dr. U. NAGARAJAN
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Mechanical Engineering
Course Code &	ME22211
Course Name	Production Drawing Lab

S.No	Parameter	Excellent 5	Very Good 4	Good 3	Satisfactory 2	Poor 1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?		4			
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?		4			
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?		4			
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction		4			
Any other suggestions: —						

Signature